

Journal of Organometallic Chemistry 649 (2002) 209-213



www.elsevier.com/locate/jorganchem

Conversion of alkynes to cyclic imides and anhydrides using reactive iron carbonyls prepared from $Fe(CO)_5$ and $Fe_3(CO)_{12}$

Mariappan Periasamy *, Chellappan Rameshkumar, Amere Mukkanti

School of Chemistry, University of Hyderabad, Central University PO, Hyderabad 500 046, India

Received 3 January 2002; accepted 5 January 2002

Abstract

Alkyne–iron carbonyl complexes, prepared using $Fe(CO)_5-NaBH_4-CH_3COOH$ -amine–alkyne and $Fe_3(CO)_{12}$ -amine–alkyne reagent systems, react with excess of amine at 25 °C to give cyclic imides in moderate to good yields. Further, unsaturated iron carbonyl species, prepared using the $Fe(CO)_5$ -pyridine-N-oxide system, react with alkynes to give the corresponding anhydrides. © 2002 Published by Elsevier Science B.V.

Keywords: Alkynes; Iron carbonyl complexes; Double carbonylation; Cyclic imides

1. Introduction

Transition metal mediated carbonylation reactions are of immense interest in synthetic chemistry [1]. Among these, the double carbonylation reactions are of special importance as they could lead to molecules with unusual structures that would otherwise require multistep synthetic operations [2]. Among various metal carbonyls, iron carbonyls proved to be very much useful in the stoichiometric and catalytic mono- and double carbonylation reactions [3]. In recent years, it has been reported from this laboratory that alkynes react with iron carbonyl species, generated using FeCl₃, $NaBH_4-CO;$ $Fe(CO)_5,$ $NaBH_4-CH_3COOH$ and $Fe_3(CO)_{12}$ -amine reagent systems to give a variety of products such as cyclobutenediones and benzoquinones [4-6]. These transformations, involving unsaturated iron carbonyl species and alkynes, were rationalised considering the intermediacy of maleoyl complexes of the type 1 or hydroxy ferrole complexes of the type 2[2,5,7]. We report here the results of a detailed investigation on the reaction alkynes with iron carbonyl complexes, prepared using Fe(CO)₅, NaBH₄-CH₃COOH and Fe₃(CO)₁₂-amine reagent systems and excess of primary amine to obtain cyclic imides. Also, we report that unsaturated iron carbonyl species, generated in situ

* Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* mpsc@uohyd.ernet.in (M. Periasamy). using $Fe(CO)_5$ -pyridine-*N*-oxide, react with alkynes to give the corresponding anhydrides.



2. Results and discussion

Recently, others and we reported that amines facility the Pauson–Khand reaction of alkyne– $Co_2(CO)_6$ complexes through creating vacant coordination site on cobalt [8,9]. In continuation of these efforts, we became interested in examining the effect of different amines on the reaction of iron carbonyl complexes 1 or 2, formed in the reaction of alkynes with the Fe(CO)₅–NaBH₄– CH₃COOH reagent system. We have observed that addition of excess of primary amines (25 mmol) to the iron carbonyl complexes, prepared at 25 °C in THF, gives the corresponding cyclic imides in moderate to good yields (51–64%) after CuCl₂·2H₂O oxidation (Eq. (1)).



Table 1

Reaction of primary amines on alkynes- iron carbonyl complexes

				Yield ^b (%)	
Entry	Alkyne	Amine	Product ^a	method A ^c	method B
	R— <u>—</u> H	$CH_3(CH_2)_3NH_2$			
1.	$R = C_5 H_{11}$	$CH_3(CH_2)_3NH_2$	CH ₂ (CH ₂) ₂ CH ₃ 3a	57	65
2.	R = Ph	$CH_3(CH_2)_3NH_2$	3b	55	45
3.	$R = C_6 H_{13}$	$CH_3(CH_2)_3NH_2$	3c Ph 、 , ^{Ph}	62	61
4.	Ph Ph	CH ₃ (CH ₂) ₃ NH ₂		55	51 ^d
5.	Рh С ₅ H ₁₁ ОН	CH ₃ (CH ₂) ₃ NH ₂	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Signature} \\ \text{Signature} \\$	64	58 ^d
6.	ноС ₅ Н ₁₁	CH ₃ (CH ₂) ₃ NH ₂	HO N O H C H ₂ (CH ₂) ₂ CH ₃	61	50 ^d
7.	PhPh	CH ₃ (CH ₂) ₇ NH ₂	$\begin{array}{c} Ph \xrightarrow{3f} W^{Ph} \\ 0 \xrightarrow{N} 0 \\ H_2(CH_2)_6CH_3 \end{array}$	53	46 ^d
8.	с ₅ н ₁₁ — — н	PhCH ₂ NH ₂	3g C ₅ H ₁₁ 0 N C ₁ CH ₂ Ph 3h	51	55

^a Products were identified from the spectral data IR, ¹³C-NMR, ¹H-NMR, mass and elemental analysis and comparison with the data reported for similar derivatives [2b,14].

^b Yields are of products isolated by column chromatography and based on the amount of the alkynes used.

^c Method A: Fe(CO)₅/NaBH₄/CH₃COOH/alkyne reagent system; Method B: (Fe₃CO)₁₂/RNH₂/alkyne reagent system.

^d Stereochemistry assigned on the basis of ¹H-NMR data. These data are comparable with the data reported for similar derivatives [15].

Several alkynes were converted to the corresponding cyclic imides using different primary amines [2b]. This reagent system can tolerate various functional groups as is evident from Table 1. It was thought that the $HFe_3(CO)_{11}^{-1}$ species, generated using $Fe(CO)_5$ -NaBH₄-CH₃COOH, would give the coordinatively unsaturated 'Fe₃(CO)₁₁' species which, in turn, could react with alkynes to produce the intermediate alkyne–iron carbonyl complexes of the type **1** or **2** (Scheme 1).

Previously, we have reported that the reaction with $Fe_3(CO)_{12}$ (6 mmol), *n*-butylamine (2.75 mmol) and alkyne gives the corresponding cyclobutenediones in 28–61% after CuCl₂·2H₂O oxidation under these conditions [6]. However, addition of excess primary amine

(12.5 mmol) to the iron carbonyl complexes prepared in this way, leads to the corresponding cyclic imides in



Scheme 1.



moderate to good yields (50-65%) after CuCl₂·2H₂O oxidation (Eq. (2)) along with traces of cyclobutenediones (2–5%). Various alkynes were converted to the corresponding cyclic imides using different amines and the results are summarised in Table 1. Whereas the use primary amines gave cyclic amides, secondary and tertiary amines such as pyrrolidine, piperidine and triethylamine led to the formation of a mixture of the corresponding benzoquinones and cyclobutenediones as reported [6]. The formation of cyclic imides can be tentatively explained by the sequence of reactions and intermediates as shown in the (Scheme 2) [2,6,10a].



Presumably, the amine would react with $Fe_3(CO)_{12}$ to give the $Fe_3(CO)_{11}$ or 'amine-Fe(CO)₄' and 'Fe₂(CO)₈' species, which on further reaction with alkynes, could give the intermediate complexes of type 1 or 2. In the presence of excess amine, the intermediate of the type 5 would result that on subsequent transformations could lead to the species such as 6 and 7. Finally, decomplexation using CuCl₂·2H₂O would give the corresponding cyclic imides 3.

It is well-known that the hydrido iron carbonyls reduce α,β unsaturated carbonyl compounds [10,11]. Accordingly, it is reasonable to consider the intermediacy of such complexes under the present conditions.

However, the formation of other iron carbonyl species cannot be ruled out. We have attempted to isolate the intermediate iron carbonyl complexes that would be formed before $CuCl_2 \cdot 2H_2O$ oxidation. Unfortunately, only a complex mixture of unidentified iron carbonyl complexes could be isolated by column chromatography. However, these unidentified iron carbonyl complexes upon $CuCl_2 \cdot 2H_2O$ oxidation gave the corresponding cyclic imides. We have also made attempts to prepare acyl and Ph₃P derivatives of the intermediate iron complexes but these efforts were not successful. It may be of interest to note that the complexes of the type **1** or **2** have proven applications in the preparation of highly functionalised aromatic compounds [12].

The $Fe(CO)_5$ is known to undergo decarbonylation with R_3N^+ –O⁻ to give the unsaturated 'Fe(CO)₄' species [13]. Accordingly, it was of interest to examine the reaction alkynes with unsaturated iron carbonyl species prepared in this way. We have observed that the reaction of alkynes with $Fe(CO)_5$ in the presence of pyridine-N-oxide (1:1) at 70 °C gives the corresponding anhydride after CuCl₂·2H₂O oxidation (Eq. (3)). Presumably, the pyridine-N-oxide further oxidises the intermediate alkyne-iron complexes to produce the corresponding anhydride. It was thought that the use of lesser amount of the amine-oxide would prevent the anhydride formation. Accordingly, in another run, $Fe(CO)_5$ and pyridine-N-oxide were used in 2:1 ratio. Surprisingly, a mixture of benzoquinones (60% yield) was obtained in this case.

$$e(CO)_{5} \quad \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} 1) \text{ pyridine-N-oxide} \\ 2) & \overrightarrow{R} & \overrightarrow{R'} \\ 3) \text{ CuCl}_{2}. 2H_{2}O \\ \mathbf{8}: R = H, R' = C_{5}H_{11} \quad (64\%) \\ \mathbf{9}: R = H, R' = C_{6}H_{5} \quad (59\%) \end{array} }$$

$$(3)$$

3. Conclusion

F

In conclusion, simple, convenient procedures were developed for the preparation of cyclic imides from alkynes using the readily available iron carbonyl reagents. The methods described should be useful for further synthetic exploitations as the cyclic imide derivatives are an important class of compounds that are of interest to medicinal chemistry [16]. Also, the conversion of alkynes to the corresponding cyclic anhydrides using Fe(CO)₅ and pyridine-*N*-oxide would further illustrate the scope of applications of the reactive iron carbonyls prepared in this way.

4. Experimental

4.1. General methods

¹H-NMR (200 MHz) and ¹³C-NMR (50 MHz) spectra were taken in CDCl₃ with Me₄Si as reference ($\delta = 0$ ppm). The chemical shifts are reported in ppm on the δ

scale relative to CDCl₃ (77.0 ppm), and coupling constants are reported in hertz. Chromatographic purification was conducted by column chromatography using 100-200 mesh silica gel obtained from Acme Synthetic Chemicals, India. The alkynes (except 1-heptyne) were prepared by following a reported procedure [17]. THF supplied by E-Merck, India, was distilled over sodiumbenzophenone ketyl before use. Fe₃(CO)₁₂ reagent was prepared following a reported procedure [18]. Iron pentacarbonyl supplied by Fluka was used. All reactions and manipulations were conducted under a dry nitrogen atmosphere. All yields reported are isolated yields of materials judged homogeneous by TLC, IR and NMR spectroscopy.

4.2. Representative procedure for the preparation of imides using $Fe_3(CO)_{12}$ and amine

A mixture of $Fe_3(CO)_{12}$ (3.02 g, 6 mmol) and *n*-butylamine (0.201 g, 2.75 mmol) in THF (50 ml) was stirred for 30 min at 25 °C. 1-Heptyne (0.12 g, 1.25 mmol) was added and stirred for 4 h. n-Butylamine (9 g, 12.5 mmol) was added and the contents were further stirred for 12 h. The metal carbonyl complex was decomposed using CuCl₂·2H₂O (3.4 g, 20 mmol) in acetone (25 ml). Saturated aq. NaCl (20 ml) was added and the contents were extracted with ether (100 ml). The combined organic extract was washed with brine (15 ml), dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ and concentrated. The residue was subjected to column chromatography (silica gel, C_6H_{12} -EtOAc). Ethyl acetate (1%) in C_6H_{12} eluted the cyclic imide derivative (0.182 g, 65%). This procedure was followed for the conversion of other alkynes to the corresponding cyclic imides.

4.2.1. Compound 3a

Yield: 0.182 g (65%); (IR (neat): 1772, 1703 cm⁻¹; ¹H-NMR (200 MHz) (CDCl₃): δ 0.6–1.87 (m, 18H), 1.92 (m, 1H), 2.1 (d, J = 13.6 Hz, 1H), 2.6 (d, J = 13.2Hz, 1H), 3.3 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H); ¹³C-NMR (50 MHz) (CDCl₃): δ 13.2 and 13.5 (–CH₃), 19.7, 22.1, 26.1, 29.4, 31.0, 31.2, 34.0 (–CH₂), 38.0 (–CH), 39.5 (–CH₂), 176.4 and 179.6 (–CO); MS (EI): m/z 225 (M⁺), 168 (B). Anal. Calc. for C₁₃H₂₃O₂N: C, 69.33; H, 10.22; N, 6.22. Found: C, 69.32; H, 10.27; N, 6.21%.

4.2.2. Compound 3b

Yield: 0.128 g (45%); IR (neat): 1766, 1707 cm⁻¹; ¹H-NMR (200 MHz) (CDCl₃): δ 0.6–1.8 (m, 5H), 2.81 (d, *J* = 13.3 Hz, 1H), 2.85 (d, *J* = 13.5 Hz, 1H), 3.1 (q, 2H), 3.8 (t, 2H), 4.01 (t, 1H), 7–7.5 (m, 5H); ¹³C-NMR (50 MHz) (CDCl₃): δ 10.1 (–CH₃), 18.6, 21.5, 27.7 (–CH₂), 36.2 (–CH), 38.5 (–CH₂), 128.0, 128.8, 132.4 (–CH), 172.5 and 175.9 (–CO).

4.2.3. Compound 3c

Yield: 0.181 g (61%); IR (neat): 1786, 1703 cm⁻¹; ¹H-NMR (200 MHz) (CDCl₃): δ 0.8–1.9 (m, 20H), 2.09 (m, 1H), 2.3 (d, J = 13.3 Hz, 1H), 2.7 (d, J = 13.2 Hz, 1H), 3.4 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H); ¹³C-NMR (50 MHz) (CDCl₃): δ 13.3 and 13.7 (–CH₃), 19.8, 22.3, 26.4, 28.8, 29.5, 31.2, 31.3, 34.1 (–CH₂), 38.2 (–CH), 39.6 (–CH₂), 176.4 and179.7 (–CO).

4.2.4. Compound 3d

Yield: 0.195 g (51%); IR (neat): 1778, 1705 cm⁻¹; ¹H-NMR (200 MHz) (CDCl₃): δ 1–1.8 (m, 7H), 3.6 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 4.1 (d, J = 10 Hz, 2H), 7.15–7.6 (m, 10H); ¹³C-NMR (50 MHz) (CDCl₃): δ 13.7 (–CH₃), 20.2, 29.9, 39.1 (–CH₂), 55.3 (–CH), 128.2, 128.5, 129.1 (–CH), 136.9 (phenyl C-1), 176.5 (–CO); MS (EI): m/z307 (M⁺), 265 (B). Anal. Calc. for C₂₀H₂₁O₂N: C, 78.12; H, 6.84; N, 4.56. Found: C, 78.25; H, 6.90; N, 4.52%.

4.2.5. Compound 3e

Yield: 0.25 g (58%); IR (neat): 1766, 1689 cm⁻¹; ¹H-NMR (200 MHz) (CDCl₃): δ 0.75–1.53 (m, 18H), 1.62 (s, 3H), 2.44 (q, J = 5.8 Hz, 1H), 2.7 (d, J = 3.8Hz, 1H) 3.4 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.2–7.4 (m, 5H); ¹³C-NMR (50 MHz) (CDCl₃): δ 13.4 and 13.7 (–CH₃), 19.9, 22.1, 24.6 (–CH₂), 25.1 (–CH₃), 29.5, 31.2, 31.3 (–CH₂), 38.3 (–CH), 42.7 (–CH₂), 57.0 (–CH), 74.8 (quaternary), 125.6, 127.7, 128.2 (–CH), 143.6 (phenyl C-1), 178.5 and 179.1 (–CO); MS (EI): m/z 347 (M⁺), 329 (B). Anal. Calc. for C₂₁H₃₁O₂N: C, 73.04; H, 8.98; N, 4.05. Found: C, 73.10; H, 9.15; N, 4.11%.

4.2.6. Compound 3f

Yield: 0.193 g (50%); IR (neat): 3487, 1766, 1693 cm⁻¹; ¹H-NMR (200 MHz) (CDCl₃): δ 0.8–1.0 (m, 14H), 1.2–1.8 (m, 14H), 2.43 (q, J = 5.7 Hz, 1H), 2.78 (d, J = 3.8 Hz, 1H), 3.45 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H); ¹³C-NMR (50 MHz) (CDCl₃): δ 7.2, 7.6, 13.3, 13.6 (–CH₃), 19.8, 22.1, 25.1, 28.2, 28.8, 29.2, 29.4, 31.5 (–CH₂), 38.2 (–CH), 42.1 (–CH₂), 51.6 (–CH), 75.6 (quaternary), 178.9 and 179.5 (CO); MS (EI): m/z 293 (M⁺–H₂O), 282 (B). Anal. Calc. for C₁₈H₃₃O₃N: C, 69.45; H, 10.61; N, 4.50. Found: C, 69.51; H, 10.60; N, 5.00%.

4.2.7. Compound 3g

Yield: 0.207 g (46%); IR (neat): 1776, 1707 cm⁻¹; ¹H-NMR (200 MHz) (CDCl₃): δ 0.9–1.8 (m, 15H), 3.7 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 4.1 (d, *J* = 10 Hz, 2H), 7.1–7.4 (m, 10H); ¹³C-NMR (50 MHz) (CDCl₃): δ 14.1 (–CH₃), 22.6, 26.8, 27.7, 29.1, 31.7, 39.3 (–CH₂), 55.3 (–CH), 127.7, 129.1 (–CH), 136.9 (phenyl C-1), 176.3 (CO); MS (EI): *m*/*z* 363 (M⁺), 265 (B). Anal. Calc. for C₂₄H₂₉O₂N: C, 79.34; H, 7.98; N, 3.86. Found: C, 79.52; H, 8.10; N, 3.88%.

4.2.8. Compound **3h**

Yield: 0.177 g (55%); IR (neat): 1766, 1707 cm⁻¹; ¹H-NMR (200 MHz) (CDCl₃): δ 0.91–0.92 (m, 12H), 2.4 (d, J = 13.4 Hz, 1H), 2.77 (d, J = 13.6 Hz, 1H), 4.2 (s, 2H), 7.2–7.4 (m, 5H); ¹³C-NMR (50 MHz) (CDCl₃): δ 10.1 (–CH₃), 18.6, 21.5, 27.5, 27.7, 30.6 (–CH₂), 36.2 (–CH), 38.5 (–CH₂), 128.0, 128.8(–CH), 132.4 (phenyl C-1), 172.5 and 175.9 (CO).

4.3. Typical procedure for the preparation of maleic anhydride derivatives using $Fe(CO)_5$ and pyridine *N*-oxide

Fe(CO)₅ (1.3 ml, 10 mmol) and pyridine *N*-oxide (0.95 g, 10 mmol) in THF (80 ml) were stirred until the colour changed from light yellow to dark brown at 67 °C (ca. 1 h). 1-Heptyne (0.19 g, 2 mmol) was added and the refluxing was continued for further 12 h. The metal carbonyl complex was decomposed using CuCl₂·2H₂O (3.4 g, 20 mmol) in acetone (10 ml). Saturated aq. NaCl (25 ml) was added and the resulting solution was extracted with ether (100 ml), washed with brine (20 ml), dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ and concentrated. The residue was subjected to column chromatography (silica gel, C₆H₁₂-EtOAc). Ethyl acetate (3%) in C₆H₁₂ eluted the anhydride (0.214 g, 64%).

4.3.1. Compound 8

Yield: 0.214 g (64%); IR (neat): 3111, 1842, 1772 cm⁻¹; ¹H-NMR (200 MHz) (CDCl₃): δ 0.8–1.9 (m, 11H), 6.5 (s, 1H); ¹³C-NMR (50 MHz) (CDCl₃): δ 13.7 (–CH₃), 22.1, 25.8, 26.5, 31.1 (–CH₂), 128.4 (–CH), 153.8 (quaternary), 164.0 and 165.8 (–CO).

4.3.2. Compound 9

Yield: 0.204 g (59%); IR (neat): 3117, 1839, 1765 cm⁻¹; ¹H-NMR (200 MHz) (CDCl₃): δ 7–7.5 (m, 6H); ¹³C-NMR (50 MHz) (CDCl₃): δ 127.4, 128.8, 129.2 (-CH), 138.2 (phenyl C-1), 146.5 (-CH), 146.6 (quaternary), 163.6 and 164.5 (-CO).

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the UGC, CSIR and DST (New Delhi) for financial support. We are also thankful to the UGC for support under Special Assistance Program.

References

- H.M. Colquhoun, D.J. Thompson, M.V. Twigg, Carbonylation: Direct Synthesis of Carbonyl Compounds, Plenum, New York, 1991.
- [2] (a) M. Periasamy, U. Radhakrishnan, J.J. Brunet, R. Chauvin,
 A. Elzaizi, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. (1996) 1499;
 (b) C. Rameshkumar, M. Periasamy, Synlett. 11 (2000) 1619.
- [3] (a) I. Wender, P. Pino, Organic Synthesis Via Metal Carbonyls, vol. 1 and 2, Wiley Interscience, New York, 1977;
 (b) N.E. Schore, Chem. Rev. 88 (1988) 1081;
 - (c) J.J. Brunet, J. Chem. Res. 90 (1990) 1041;
 (d) K. Khumptaveepron, H. Alper, Acc. Chem. Res. 28 (1995)
 - (d) R. Khumparteepron, H. Hiper, Hee. Chem. Res. 26 (1995) 414; (e) R. Noyori, Y. Hayakawa, Tetrahedron 41 (1985) 5879 and
 - the references cited therein.
- [4] C. Rameshkumar, M. Periasamy, Organometallics 19 (2000) 2400.
- [5] C. Rameshkumar, M. Periasamy, U. Radhakrishnan, Tetrahedron Lett. 38 (1997) 7229.
- [6] C. Rameshkumar, M. Periasamy, Tetrahedron Lett. 41 (2000) 2719.
- [7] M. Periasamy, C. Rameshkumar, U. Radhakrishnan, J.J. Brunet, J. Org. Chem. 63 (1998) 4930.
- [8] T. Sugihara, M. Yamada, H. Ban, M. Yamaguchi, C. Kaneka, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 36 (1997) 2801.
- [9] T. Rajesh, M. Periasamy, Tetrahedron Lett. 39 (1998) 117.
- [10] (a) H.W. Sternberg, R. Markby, I. Wender, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 79 (1957) 6116;
 (b) R. Noyori, I. Umeda, T. Ishigami, J. Org. Chem. 37 (1972) 1542.
- [11] G.I. Childs, A.I. Cooper, T.F. Nolan, M.J. Crrott, M.W. George, M. Poliakaff, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 123 (2001) 6857.
- [12] (a) G. Wilkinson, F.G.A. Stone, E.W. Abel, Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry, Oxford, Pergamon Press, 1982 Vol. 4, pp 554 and the references cited therein;
 (b) M.C. Whiting, Chem. Weekblad 53 (1963) 119;
 (c) L.S. Liebeskind, S.L. Baysdon, M.S. South, S. Iyer, J.P. Leeds, Tetrahedron 41 (1985) 5839.
- [13] (a) H. Alper, J.T. Edward, Can. J. Chem. 48 (1970) 1543;
 (b) J. Elzinga, H. Hogeveen, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. (1977) 705.
- [14] (a) L.R. Caswell, M. Guevara, L.D. Corley, A.V. Martinez, T. Hollis, D.L. Thornley, Synthesis (1992) 823;
 (b) R. Hernandez, D. Melian, E. Suraez, Synthesis (1992) 653.
- [15] (a) V.D. Rao, M. Periasamy, Synthesis (2000) 703;
 (b) R. Hernandez, J.J. Marrero, D. Melian, E. Suraez, Tetrahedron Lett. 29 (1998) 6661;
 (c) H. Alper, C.P. Mahatantila, F.W.B. Einstein, A.C. Willis, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106 (1984) 2708.
- [16] (a) K. Itami, A. Palmgren, A. Thorarensen, J.E. Backvall, J. Org. Chem. 63 (1998) 6466;
 (b) M.K. Hargreaves, J.G. Pritchard, H.R Dave, Chem. Rev. 70 (1970) 439 and the references cited therein.
- [17] (a) E.V. Dehmlow, M. Lissel, Tetrahedron 37 (1981) 1653;
 (b) L. Bradsman, H.D. Verkruijsse, Synthesis of Acetylenes, Allenes and Cumulenes, Elsevier, New York, 1981.
- [18] R.B. King, F.G.A. Stone, Inorg. Synth. 25 (1960) 193.